

# University of Georgia

## Cotton and Palmer amaranth response to Sonar, Reflex, and Warrant.

Trial ID: C72-11  
Location: Ponder Farm

Study Dir.: Stanley Culpepper  
Investigator: Stanley Culpepper

Use 1.5 liters(s) per treatment mixture to spray 14.8 gal/ac  
Plots: 6 by 35 feet

Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Grow Stg	Appl Code	Amt Product to Measure	Plot No. By Rep			
									1	2	3	4
1	No herbicide								101	202	305	409
2	Sonar	4	L	0.1	lb ai/a	PRE	A	2.534 ml/mx	102	203	306	408
3	Sonar	4	L	0.2	lb ai/a	PRE	A	5.067 ml/mx	103	206	308	407
4	Sonar	4	L	0.25	lb ai/a	PRE	A	6.334 ml/mx	104	201	304	406
5	Sonar	4	L	0.3	lb ai/a	PRE	A	7.601 ml/mx	105	209	303	405
6	Sonar	4	L	0.4	lb ai/a	PRE	A	10.13 ml/mx	106	207	307	404
7	Reflex	2	L	0.25	lb ai/a	PRE	A	12.67 ml/mx	107	208	309	403
8	Warrant	3	ME	1.125	lb ai/a	PRE	A	38.0 ml/mx	108	205	302	402
9	Dual Magnum	7.64	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE	A	12.6 ml/mx	109	204	301	401

Sort Order: Treatment

### Trial Comments

OBJECTIVE: Determine cotton and weed response to Sonar.

Note: This trial was planted on Sept 29 when conditions were unfavorable for cotton growth.

#### PHY 499 COTTON INJURY (GOSHI 1):

- At 14 DAT, injury was greatest with Reflex at 14%. Injury from all other treatments was less than 6%.
- At 21 DAT, the greatest level of injury from Sonar was noted and that was only 9% with 0.4 lb ai/A.

#### DP 1050BRR COTTON INJURY (GOSHI 2):

- Injury with 1050 was generally greater than that of 499, as expected.
- At 14 DAT, 11 to 15% injury was noted with Reflex, Dual, and Sonar at 0.4 lb ai/A.
- At 21 DAT, Dual Magnum caused 25% stunting followed by Sonar 0.4 lb (15%), Reflex (13%), and Sonar 0.3 lb (10%).
- Cotton recovered quickly from all treatments except Dual Magnum.

#### COTTON STAND COUNTS:

- An entire row for each plot was counted.
- Treatments did not influence stand counts at 7, 15, or 28 DAT.

#### COTTON HEIGHTS:

- Cotton heights were taken from 10 plants per plot at 14, 18, and 28 DAT.
- With both cultivars, Dual Magnum was the only treatment reducing plant heights.

#### PALMER CONTROL FALL 2011:

- All systems provided essentially complete control.

#### PALMER CONTROL SPRING 2012:

- At 219 day after application during the following spring, no control was noted with Reflex, Warrant, or Dual Magnum. Sonar at 0.1, 0.2, 0.25, 0.3, and 0.4 lb ai provided 45, 78, 85, 93, and 97% control, respectively.

#### VEGETABLE RESPONSE:

- Crops were transplanted back into plots on March 20, without tillage. Evaluations are taken on May 5, 219 days after application.
- Cucurbits showed no visual damage.
- Tomato injury ranged from 18 to 35% with Sonar at 0.2 to 0.4 lb.
- Pepper injury ranged from 28 to 92% injury with 0.1 to 0.4 lb.

## University of Georgia

### GENERAL COMMENTS:

1. Weather information can be found at automated web site ([www.griffin.uga.edu/aemn/](http://www.griffin.uga.edu/aemn/)) but the first three rain/irrigation occurred as Sept 29 with 0.5 inch immediately following planting, Oct 3 with 0.5 inch, and Oct 5 with 0.2 inch.
2. Vanguard bell pepper, athena cantaloupe, liberty watermelon, enterprise squash, BHN 602 tomato were planted back into the original plot, without tillage, on march 20, 2012.

# University of Georgia

Cotton and Palmer amaranth response to Sonar, Reflex, and Warrant.

Trial ID: C72-11  
Location: Ponder Farm

Study Dir.: Stanley Culpepper  
Investigator: Stanley Culpepper

Weed Code								AMAPA	AMAPA
Crop Code	GOSBA 1	GOSBA 1	GOSBA 1	GOSBA 1	GOSBA 1	GOSBA 1	GOSBA 1		
Rating Data Type	INJURY	INJURY	INJURY	INJURY	INJURY	INJURY	INJURY	control	control
Rating Unit	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Rating Date	10/7/2011	10/13/2011	10/20/2011	10/28/2011	11/3/2011	11/11/2011		10/13/2011	10/20/2011
Crop Stage									
Assessed By	JS	SC	SC	SC	JS	SC		SC	SC
Trt-Eval Interval	8 DA-A	14 DA-A	21 DA-A	29 DA-A	35 DA-A	43 DA-A		14 DA-A	21 DA-A

## ARM Action Codes

Trt No.	Treatment Name	Rate	Rate Unit	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	No herbicide			0.0	b 0.0	c 0.0	d 0.0	c 0.0	b 0.0	b		0.0	b 0.0 b
2	Sonar	0.1	lb ai/a	0.0	b 3.8	bc 2.5	cd 0.0	c 0.0	b 0.0	b		99.0	a 99.0 a
3	Sonar	0.2	lb ai/a	0.0	b 3.5	bc 2.5	cd 0.0	c 0.0	b 0.0	b		99.0	a 99.0 a
4	Sonar	0.25	lb ai/a	0.0	b 5.5	b 5.5	abc 0.0	c 0.0	b 0.0	b		99.0	a 99.0 a
5	Sonar	0.3	lb ai/a	1.3	b 4.8	b 6.0	abc 0.0	c 0.0	b 0.0	b		99.0	a 99.0 a
6	Sonar	0.4	lb ai/a	0.0	b 5.5	b 9.3	a 0.0	c 0.0	b 0.0	b		99.0	a 99.0 a
7	Reflex	0.25	lb ai/a	0.0	b 13.8	a 10.0	a 3.8	b 0.0	b 0.0	b		99.0	a 99.0 a
8	Warrant	1.125	lb ai/a	0.0	b 3.5	bc 3.5	bcd 1.8	bc 0.0	b 0.0	b		99.0	a 99.0 a
9	Dual Magnum	0.95	lb ai/a	5.0	a 5.5	b 7.5	ab 10.0	a 8.8	a 10.0	a		99.0	a 99.0 a
LSD (P=.05)				2.33	4.60	4.74	3.62	1.22	1.99	.	.	0.00	0.00
Standard Deviation				1.60	3.15	3.25	2.48	0.83	1.36	.	.	0.00	0.00
CV				229.78	62.01	62.56	143.89	85.71	122.47	.	.	0.0	0.0
Bartlett's X2				0.682	21.825	10.893	0.283	0.0	0.0	.	.	0.0	0.0
P(Bartlett's X2)				0.409	0.003*	0.143	0.868	.	.	.	.	.	.
Replicate F				1.000	0.294	1.083	0.440	1.000	1.000			0.000	0.000
Replicate Prob(F)				0.4098	0.8297	0.3752	0.7263	0.4098	0.4098			1.0000	1.0000
Treatment F				4.364	5.453	4.260	7.363	49.000	24.000			0.000	0.000
Treatment Prob(F)				0.0023	0.0006	0.0027	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001			1.0000	1.0000

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, LSD)

Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL.

# University of Georgia

Weed Code			AMAPA	AMAPA	AMAPA	AMAPA							AVG10PLA	AVG20PLA
Crop Code							GOSHI 1	GOSHI 1	GOSHI 1	GOSHI 1	GOSHI 1	GOSHI 1	GOSHI 1	GOSHI 1
Rating Data Type			control	control	control	control	STAND CT	STAND CT	STAND CT	STAND CT	STAND CT	STAND CT	HEIGHTS	HEIGHTS
Rating Unit			%	%	%	%	#/PLOT	#/PLOT	#/PLOT	#/PLOT	#/PLOT	#/PLOT	CM	CM
Rating Date			10/28/2011	11/3/2011	11/11/2011	5/5/2012	10/6/2011	10/14/2011	10/27/2011	10/27/2011	10/27/2011	10/27/2011	10/13/2011	10/17/2011
Crop Stage													AVERAGE	AVERAGE
Assessed By			SC		SC									
Trt-Eval Interval			29 DA-A	35 DA-A	43 DA-A	219 DA-A	7 DA-A	15 DA-A	28 DA-A				14 DA-A	18 DA-A
ARM Action Codes													T1	T2
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Rate	Rate Unit	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	30	43	
1	No herbicide			0.0	b 0.0	b 0.0	b 0.0	e	50.5	a 65.3	a 62.0	a 6.30	a 7.85	a
2	Sonar	0.1	lb ai/a	99.0	a 99.0	a 99.0	a 44.8	d	55.8	a 64.0	a 68.3	a 5.90	a 7.15	a
3	Sonar	0.2	lb ai/a	99.0	a 99.0	a 99.0	a 77.5	c	53.0	a 67.5	a 65.3	a 6.25	a 7.93	a
4	Sonar	0.25	lb ai/a	99.0	a 99.0	a 99.0	a 85.0	bc	47.5	a 67.5	a 64.3	a 6.05	a 7.23	a
5	Sonar	0.3	lb ai/a	99.0	a 99.0	a 99.0	a 93.3	ab	46.5	a 63.8	a 63.8	a 6.10	a 8.25	a
6	Sonar	0.4	lb ai/a	99.0	a 99.0	a 99.0	a 97.0	a	53.3	a 71.0	a 67.8	a 6.28	a 7.65	a
7	Reflex	0.25	lb ai/a	99.0	a 99.0	a 99.0	a 0.0	e	51.5	a 68.8	a 66.3	a 6.43	a 7.88	a
8	Warrant	1.125	lb ai/a	99.0	a 99.0	a 99.0	a 0.0	e	56.3	a 63.5	a 66.3	a 6.30	a 7.13	a
9	Dual Magnum	0.95	lb ai/a	99.0	a 99.0	a 99.0	a 0.0	e	42.3	a 61.0	a 64.5	a 5.78	a 7.25	a
LSD (P=.05)				0.00	0.00	0.00	9.15	.	11.15	9.19	6.71	0.541	0.966	
Standard Deviation				0.00	0.00	0.00	6.27	.	7.64	6.29	4.60	0.370	0.662	
CV				0.0	0.0	0.0	14.19	.	15.06	9.56	7.03	6.02	8.72	
Bartlett's X2				0.0	0.0	0.0	2.431	.	4.358	10.837	14.935	4.961	3.625	
P(Bartlett's X2)				.	.	.	0.657	.	0.823	0.211	0.06	0.762	0.889	
Replicate F				0.000	0.000	0.000	3.064		28.411	1.098	5.030	12.463	2.323	
Replicate Prob(F)				1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.0474		0.0001	0.3690	0.0076	0.0001	0.1005	
Treatment F				0.000	0.000	0.000	200.774		1.441	0.979	0.745	1.318	1.552	
Treatment Prob(F)				1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.0001		0.2307	0.4753	0.6518	0.2816	0.1921	

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, LSD)

Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL.

# University of Georgia

Weed Code	AVG20PLA						
Crop Code	GOSHI 1	GOSHI 2	GOSHI 2	GOSHI 2	GOSHI 2	GOSHI 2	GOSHI 2
Rating Data Type	HEIGHTS	INJURY	INJURY	INJURY	INJURY	INJURY	INJURY
Rating Unit	CM	%	%	%	%	%	%
Rating Date	10/27/2011	10/7/2011	10/13/2011	10/20/2011	10/28/2011	11/3/2011	11/11/2011
Crop Stage	AVERAGE						
Assessed By	JS	JS	SC	SC	SC	JS	SC
Trt-Eval Interval	28 DA-A	8 DA-A	14 DA-A	21 DA-A	29 DA-A	35 DA-A	43 DA-A
ARM Action Codes	T3						

Trt No.	Treatment Name	Rate	Unit	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66
1	No herbicide			10.45	a		0.0	b 0.0	e 0.0	f 0.0	c 0.0	b 0.0	b	
2	Sonar	0.1	lb ai/a	10.23	a		0.0	b 2.5	de 2.5	ef 0.0	c 0.0	b 0.0	b	
3	Sonar	0.2	lb ai/a	10.48	a		0.0	b 8.5	bc 8.8	cd 0.0	c 0.0	b 0.0	b	
4	Sonar	0.25	lb ai/a	10.18	a		0.0	b 8.8	bc 8.8	cd 6.3	b 0.0	b 0.0	b	
5	Sonar	0.3	lb ai/a	10.48	a		2.5	b 8.0	bc 10.0	bcd 3.8	bc 0.0	b 0.0	b	
6	Sonar	0.4	lb ai/a	10.28	a		1.3	b 11.3	ab 15.0	b 8.8	b 0.0	b 0.0	b	
7	Reflex	0.25	lb ai/a	10.93	a		0.0	b 13.8	a 12.5	bc 5.0	bc 0.0	b 0.0	b	
8	Warrant	1.125	lb ai/a	10.03	a		0.0	b 4.8	cd 6.8	de 0.0	c 0.0	b 0.0	b	
9	Dual Magnum	0.95	lb ai/a	8.50	b		8.0	a 15.0	a 25.0	a 23.8	a 16.3	a 15.0	a	
LSD (P=.05)				1.039	.	.	3.91	4.55	5.67	5.25	3.06	4.86	.	.
Standard Deviation				0.712	.	.	2.68	3.12	3.89	3.60	2.10	3.33	.	.
CV				7.0	.	.	205.12	38.69	39.18	68.22	116.15	200.0	.	.
Bartlett's X2				4.606	.	.	1.856	2.843	9.257	4.924	0.0	0.0	.	.
P(Bartlett's X2)				0.799	.	.	0.395	0.899	0.235	0.295	.	.	.	.
Replicate F				2.246			0.913	3.703	3.132	0.357	1.000	1.000		
Replicate Prob(F)				0.1088			0.4495	0.0254	0.0443	0.7844	0.4098	0.4098		
Treatment F				3.608			3.937	10.204	14.111	18.054	26.684	9.000		
Treatment Prob(F)				0.0069			0.0043	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001		

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, LSD)

Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL.

# University of Georgia

Weed Code													
Crop Code													
Rating Data Type		GOSHI 2	GOSHI 2	GOSHI 2	AVG10PLA	AVG10PLA	AVG10PLA						
Rating Unit		STAND CT	STAND CT	STAND CT	GOSHI 2	GOSHI 2	GOSHI 2	CUUPE	CITLA	LYPES			
Rating Date		#/PLOT	#/PLOT	#/PLOT	HEIGHTS	HEIGHTS	HEIGHTS	injury	injury	injury			
Crop Stage		10/6/2011	10/14/2011	10/27/2011	CM	CM	CM	%	%	%			
Assessed By					10/13/2011	10/17/2011	10/27/2011	5/5/2012	5/5/2012	5/5/2012			
Trt-Eval Interval					AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE						
ARM Action Codes		7 DA-A	15 DA-A	28 DA-A	14 DA-A	18 DA-A	28 DA-A	219 DA-A	219 DA-A	219 DA-A			
		T4	T5	T6									
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Rate	Rate Unit	67	68	69	82	95	108	111	112	113	
1	No herbicide			30.5	a 54.8	a 58.3	a 4.90	a 6.23	a 8.63	a 0.0	a 0.0	a 0.0	c
2	Sonar	0.1	lb ai/a	29.0	a 63.3	a 63.5	a 4.70	a 6.38	a 9.10	a 0.0	a 0.0	a 0.0	c
3	Sonar	0.2	lb ai/a	31.3	a 63.0	a 58.8	a 4.47	a 5.55	a 8.48	a 0.0	a 0.0	a 17.5	b
4	Sonar	0.25	lb ai/a	23.3	a 66.0	a 61.8	a 5.00	a 6.28	a 7.95	a 0.0	a 0.0	a 17.5	b
5	Sonar	0.3	lb ai/a	34.5	a 64.5	a 67.3	a 4.90	a 5.80	a 8.53	a 0.0	a 0.0	a 33.8	a
6	Sonar	0.4	lb ai/a	27.5	a 64.8	a 62.0	a 4.55	a 5.38	a 8.38	a 0.0	a 0.0	a 30.0	a
7	Reflex	0.25	lb ai/a	28.5	a 59.5	a 59.8	a 4.53	a 5.53	a 8.88	a 0.0	a 0.0	a 0.0	c
8	Warrant	1.125	lb ai/a	33.0	a 65.8	a 63.0	a 4.35	a 6.18	a 8.33	a 0.0	a 0.0	a 0.0	c
9	Dual Magnum	0.95	lb ai/a	26.0	a 62.8	a 59.3	a 4.10	a 3.93	b 6.05	b 0.0	a 0.0	a 0.0	c
LSD (P=.05)				14.44	7.09	7.40	0.595	1.422	1.172	0.00	0.00	8.28	
Standard Deviation				9.90	4.85	5.07	0.408	0.975	0.803	0.00	0.00	5.67	
CV				33.8	7.74	8.25	8.84	17.12	9.73	0.0	0.0	51.7	
Bartlett's X2				8.205	14.792	9.272	10.732	5.18	5.817	0.0	0.0	1.986	
P(Bartlett's X2)				0.414	0.063	0.32	0.217	0.738	0.668	.	.	0.575	
Replicate F				0.419	0.935	2.019	15.925	0.747	0.288	0.000	0.000	1.518	
Replicate Prob(F)				0.7407	0.4393	0.1380	0.0001	0.5348	0.8335	1.0000	1.0000	0.2353	
Treatment F				0.497	2.153	1.280	2.060	2.425	4.905	0.000	0.000	24.367	
Treatment Prob(F)				0.8459	0.0701	0.2996	0.0818	0.0445	0.0011	1.0000	1.0000	0.0001	

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, LSD)

Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL.

# University of Georgia

Weed Code  
 Crop Code  
 Rating Data Type  
 Rating Unit  
 Rating Date  
 Crop Stage  
 Assessed By  
 Trt-Eval Interval  
 ARM Action Codes

CPSAN  
 injury  
 %  
 5/5/2012  
 219 DA-A

Trt No.	Treatment Name	Rate	Rate Unit	114
1	No herbicide		0.0	e
2	Sonar	0.1	lb ai/a	27.5 d
3	Sonar	0.2	lb ai/a	52.5 c
4	Sonar	0.25	lb ai/a	78.5 b
5	Sonar	0.3	lb ai/a	95.5 a
6	Sonar	0.4	lb ai/a	92.0 a
7	Reflex	0.25	lb ai/a	0.0 e
8	Warrant	1.125	lb ai/a	0.0 e
9	Dual Magnum	0.95	lb ai/a	0.0 e
LSD (P=.05)				11.71
Standard Deviation				8.02
CV				20.87
Bartlett's X2				2.682
P(Bartlett's X2)				0.612
Replicate F				0.389
Replicate Prob(F)				0.7620
Treatment F				108.413
Treatment Prob(F)				0.0001

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, LSD)

Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL.

# University of Georgia

Cotton and Palmer amaranth response to Sonar, Reflex, and Warrant.

Trial ID: C72-11  
Location: Ponder Farm

Study Dir.: Stanley Culpepper  
Investigator: Stanley Culpepper

## GENERAL TRIAL INFORMATION

<b>Study Director:</b>	Stanley Culpepper	<b>Title:</b>	EXTENSION WEED SCIENCE
<b>Affiliation:</b>	UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA		
<b>Postal Code:</b>	31794		
<b>Investigator:</b>	Stanley Culpepper	<b>Title:</b>	EXTENSION WEED SCIENCE
<b>Affiliation:</b>	UNIVERSITY OF GEORIGA		
<b>Postal Code:</b>	31794		

## TRIAL LOCATION

<b>City:</b>	TY TY	<b>Trial Status:</b>	COMPLETED
<b>State/Prov.:</b>	GEORGIA	<b>Trial Reliability:</b>	Very Good
<b>Postal Code:</b>	31795	<b>Initiation Date:</b>	9/29/2011
<b>Country:</b>	USA		

Conducted Under GLP (Y/N): N

Conducted Under GEP (Y/N): N

## CROP AND WEED DESCRIPTION

Weed Code	Common Name	Scientific Name
1.	AMAPA Palmer amaranth	

<b>Crop 1:</b>	GOSHI COTTON, LONG STAPLE (GOSHI 1)	<b>Variety:</b>	PHYTOGEN 499 WRF
<b>Planting Date:</b>	9/29/2011	<b>Planting Method:</b>	SEEDED
<b>Rate:</b>	1 3.5 IN		
<b>Row Spacing:</b>	3 FT	<b>Spacing Within Row:</b>	3.5 IN
<b>Soil Temperature:</b>	69 F	<b>Soil Moisture:</b>	IDEAL
		<b>Seed Bed:</b>	FLAT
		<b>Emergence Date:</b>	10/5/2011

<b>Crop 2:</b>	GOSHI COTTON, LONG STAPLE (GOSHI 2)	<b>Variety:</b>	DP 1050 BRF
<b>Planting Date:</b>	9/29/2011	<b>Planting Method:</b>	SEEDED
<b>Rate:</b>	1 3.5 IN		
<b>Row Spacing:</b>	3 FT	<b>Spacing Within Row:</b>	3.5 IN
<b>Soil Temperature:</b>	69 F	<b>Soil Moisture:</b>	IDEAL
		<b>Seed Bed:</b>	FLAT
		<b>Emergence Date:</b>	10/5/2012

## SITE AND DESIGN

<b>Plot Width, Unit:</b>	6 FT	<b>Plot Length, Unit:</b>	35 FT	<b>Reps:</b>	4
<b>Site Type:</b>	PONDER FARM				
<b>Tillage Type:</b>	BAREGROUND	<b>Study Design:</b>	RANDOMIZED COMPLETE BLOCK		

## SOIL DESCRIPTION

<b>% Sand:</b>	90	<b>% OM:</b>	0.8		
<b>% Silt:</b>	4	<b>pH:</b>	6.5	<b>Soil Name:</b>	TIFTON SANDY LOAM
<b>% Clay:</b>	6	<b>CEC:</b>	400		

**Overall Moisture Conditions:** Wet

**Closest Weather Station:** On site

**Distance:** 250 **Unit:** yd

## APPLICATION DESCRIPTION

<b>A</b>	
<b>Application Date:</b>	9/29/2011
<b>Time of Day:</b>	7:30AM
<b>Application Method:</b>	BROADCAST
<b>Application Timing:</b>	PRE
<b>Applic. Placement:</b>	ON SOIL
<b>Air Temp., Unit:</b>	65 F
<b>% Relative Humidity:</b>	88
<b>Wind Velocity, Unit:</b>	5 MPH
<b>Dew Presence (Y/N):</b>	N
<b>Soil Temp., Unit:</b>	69 F
<b>Soil Moisture:</b>	MOIST
<b>% Cloud Cover:</b>	100

## CROP STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION

<b>A</b>	
<b>Crop 1 Code, Stage:</b>	GOSHI
<b>Stage Scale:</b>	PRE
<b>Height, Unit:</b>	0 inch
<b>Crop 2 Code, Stage:</b>	GOSHI
<b>Stage Scale:</b>	PRE
<b>Height, Unit:</b>	0 inch

## WEED STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION

<b>A</b>	
<b>Weed 1 Code, Stage:</b>	AMAPA
<b>Stage Scale:</b>	PRE



Density, Unit: 40 ydsq

# University of Georgia

## APPLICATION EQUIPMENT

### A

Appl. Equipment:	BACKPACK	
Operating Pressure:	26 PSI	
Nozzle Type:	FLAT FAN	
Nozzle Size:	11002	
Nozzle Spacing, Unit:	18	IN
Nozzles/Row:	2	
Boom Length, Unit:	4.5	FT
Boom Height, Unit:	15	IN
Ground Speed, Unit:	3	MPH
Carrier:	H2O	
Spray Volume, Unit:	15	GPA
Propellant:	CO2	
Tank Mix (Y/N):	Y	